



Crosswalk Prioritization Policy

(Drafted 5/06/16)

So, you'd like a marked crosswalk. How much do you know about crosswalks? The City of San Leandro's Department of Engineering and Transportation has put together these helpful resources

- [Driver/Pedestrian Understanding and Behavior at Marked and Unmarked Crosswalks](#)
- [Safety Effects of Marked Versus Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations](#)

By following the recommendations from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) report entitled, "Safety Effects of Marked Versus Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations," (see "Recommendations Table") staff can routinely review using the following available options:

- (C): Candidate sites for marked crosswalks.
- (P): Possible increase in pedestrian crash risk may occur if crosswalks are added without other pedestrian facility enhancements.
- (N): Marked crosswalks alone are insufficient, since pedestrian crash risk may be increased by providing marked crosswalk alone.

However, staff will need a prioritization program to sequentially implement the recommendations based on limited funding resources.

Engineering and Transportation Department staff will develop a prioritization program based on the following criteria:

- Mix of schools and ages of children
- Travel lanes to be crossed
- Speed limits or 85th percentile speeds
- Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volumes
- Accident History (Vehicle/Pedestrian/Bicycle)
- Traffic signals or existing marked crosswalk nearby
- Designated street classifications
- Potential coordination with other Capital Improvement Project
- Pedestrian volumes in peak hours
- Unusual site conditions
- Implementation complexity

Table 11. Recommendations for installing marked crosswalks and other needed pedestrian improvements at uncontrolled locations.*

Roadway Type (Number of Travel Lanes and Median Type)	Vehicle ADT ≤ 9,000			Vehicle ADT >9,000 to 12,000			Vehicle ADT >12,000–15,000			Vehicle ADT > 15,000		
	Speed Limit**											
	≤ 48.3 km/h (30 mi/h)	56.4 km/h (35 mi/h)	64.4 km/h (40 mi/h)	≤ 48.3 km/h (30 mi/h)	56.4 km/h (35 mi/h)	64.4 km/h (40 mi/h)	≤ 48.3 km/h (30 mi/h)	56.4 km/h (35 mi/h)	64.4 km/h (40 mi/h)	≤ 48.3 km/h (30 mi/h)	56.4 km/h (35 mi/h)	64.4 km/h (40 mi/h)
Two lanes	C	C	P	C	C	P	C	C	N	C	P	N
Three lanes	C	C	P	C	P	P	P	P	N	P	N	N
Multilane (four or more lanes) with raised median***	C	C	P	C	P	N	P	P	N	N	N	N
Multilane (four or more lanes) without raised median	C	P	N	P	P	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

* These guidelines include intersection and midblock locations with no traffic signals or stop signs on the approach to the crossing. They do not apply to school crossings. A two-way center turn lane is not considered a median. Crosswalks should not be installed at locations that could present an increased safety risk to pedestrians, such as where there is poor sight distance, complex or confusing designs, a substantial volume of heavy trucks, or other dangers, without first providing adequate design features and/or traffic control devices. Adding crosswalks alone will not make crossings safer, nor will they necessarily result in more vehicles stopping for pedestrians. Whether or not marked crosswalks are installed, it is important to consider other pedestrian facility enhancements (e.g., raised median, traffic signal, roadway narrowing, enhanced overhead lighting, traffic-calming measures, curb extensions), as needed, to improve the safety of the crossing. These are general recommendations; good engineering judgment should be used in individual cases for deciding where to install crosswalks.

** Where the speed limit exceeds 64.4 km/h (40 mi/h), marked crosswalks alone should not be used at unsignalized locations.

*** The raised median or crossing island must be at least 1.2 m (4 ft) wide and 1.8 m (6 ft) long to serve adequately as a refuge area for pedestrians, in accordance with MUTCD and American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) guidelines.

C = Candidate sites for marked crosswalks. Marked crosswalks must be installed carefully and selectively. Before installing new marked crosswalks, an engineering study is needed to determine whether the location is suitable for a marked crosswalk. For an engineering study, a site review may be sufficient at some locations, while a more in-depth study of pedestrian volume, vehicle speed, sight distance, vehicle mix, and other factors may be needed at other sites. It is recommended that a minimum utilization of 20 pedestrian crossings per peak hour (or 15 or more elderly and/or child pedestrians) be confirmed at a location before placing a high priority on the installation of a marked crosswalk alone.

P = Possible increase in pedestrian crash risk may occur if crosswalks are added without other pedestrian facility enhancements. These locations should be closely monitored and enhanced with other pedestrian crossing improvements, if necessary, before adding a marked crosswalk.

N = Marked crosswalks alone are insufficient, since pedestrian crash risk may be increased by providing marked crosswalks alone. Consider using other treatments, such as traffic-calming treatments, traffic signals with pedestrian signals where warranted, or other substantial crossing improvement to improve crossing safety for pedestrians.